

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,522 07/18/2003		Noriaki Ohnishi	4539-0109P	1171
2292	7590 12/14/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH &	BIRCH	4539-0109P 11 EXAMINER NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P	ANH NHAN P
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11122 01101	- ,		2871	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/621,522 OHNISHI, NORIAKI			
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen	2871		
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS (- Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If the period for reply specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p	communication. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: e of this communication. s than thirty (30) days, a reply e maximum statutory period v eriod for reply will, by statute hree months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.3) ☐ Since this application is in	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
	is/are: a) accorate any objection to the objection to the objection to the objection to the objection and in a correct objection.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of the certified application from the	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a liquid crystal display device comprising at least one inorganic alignment film, which is made of a crystalline conductive film, classified in class 349, subclass 123.
- II. Claims 11-15, drawn to a method for fabricating a liquid crystal display device comprising the step of forming the crystalline conductive film, classified in class 349, subclass 124.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, there are many ways of forming the inorganic alignment film, which is made of a crystalline conductive film, such as depositing, dipping coat, spinning coat, or rubbing, etc. Invention II has separate utility such as forming the crystalline conductive film by irradiating the crystalline conductive film with an energy beam. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, and the search required for Invention I is not required for Invention II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Group I or II contains embodiments directed to following patentably distinct species of the claims invention:

A: One embodiment drawn to the step of forming the crystalline conductive film preferably includes the step of forming the crystalline conductive film in which the crystal grains have a cubic crystalline structure and are oriented in <111> directions thereof, [fig. 2-4].

B: Another embodiment drawn to the step of forming the crystalline conductive film preferably includes the step of forming the crystalline conductive film in which the crystal grains have a cubic crystalline structure and are oriented in <110> directions thereof, [fig. 2-4].

C: Other embodiment drawn to the step of irradiating the crystalline conductive film with the energy beam preferably includes the step of irradiating the crystalline conductive film with at least one energy beam that is selected from the group consisting of an excimer laser beam, an ultraviolet ray, an electron beam and a particle beam, [fig. 5].

If Invention II is elected, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent from or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of recorded showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/621,522 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen whose

telephone number is 571-272-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on

M-F/9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2871

M

PRIMARY EXAMINER